

**FIRST INFORMATION REPORT**
(Under Section 173 Cr.P.C. N.S.S.)

1. DISTRICT: THOUBAL

P.S. : YAIRIPOK

YEAR: 2025

FIR No. 27(07)2025 YPK-PS

Date and time of FIR: 01/07/2025 at 09:30 AM

Sl. No.	Acts	Sections
1.	BNS, 2023	61(1)/303(1)/324(1)/329(1)/351(1)

2. (a) Occurrence of Offence:

Day: Monday

Date from: 27/11/2024

Date to: 2/12/2024

Time Period : 119 hrs and 15 minutes.

Time from: 7:15 am

Time to: 7:05 am

(b) Information received at PS:

Date: 01/07/2025

Time: 9:30 AM

(c) General Diary Reference:

Entry No. 10

Date & Time: 01/07/2025 at 09:30 AM

3. Type of information: Cril. Complaint Case No. 7 of 2025 from the court of the Hon'ble court JMFC/TBL with Refe. Cril. Misc. Case No. 53 of 2025 vide M/No. JMFC/TBL/2025/342 Dated 30/06/2025 with a direction to OC/YPK-PS for investigation.

4. Place of occurrence: At the ingkhol land of two complainants situated at 101-Pechi Tehsil Yairipok, Sub-division and District Thoubal.

(a) Direction and distance from PS: About 2KM East

Beat No.

(b) Address: Yairipok Pechi village, Dist. Thoubal, Manipur

(c) In case outside the limit of this Police Station, then Name of P.S:

5. Complainant/Informant: Two complainants

Complainant No. 1

(a) Name: Shri Keisham Brojendro Singh aged about 40 yrs

(b) Father's name: (L) K. Chaoba Singh


(c) Date/ Year of Birth: 01/02/1984

(d) Nationality: Indian

(d) UID No./Passport No.

(e) Date of issue:

(g) Place of issue:



I.I.F-1

(f) ID Details (Ration Card, Voter ID Card, Passport, UID No., Driving License, PAN):

Sl. No.	ID Type	ID No.
1.	Aadhar	[REDACTED]

Complainant No. 2 Shri Yumnam Mukta Singh aged about 38 yrs

(a) Name:

(b) Father's name: Y. Mangi Singh

(c) Date/ Year of Birth: 31/03/1986 (d) Nationality: Indian

(d) UID No./Passport No.

(e) Date of issue:

(g) Place of issue:

(f) ID Details (Ration Card, Voter ID Card, Passport, UID No., Driving License, PAN):

Sl. No.	ID Type	ID No.
1.	Aadhar	[REDACTED]

(g) Occupation: Not mentioned.

(h) Address: Complainant No. 1

Sl. No.	Address Type	Address
1	Present address	Pechi Awang Leikai, PO & PS YPK.
2	Permanent address	-do-

(i) Phone number:

Address: Complainant No. 2

Sl. No.	Address Type	Address
1	Present address	Pechi Mayai Leikai, PO & PS YPK.
2	Permanent address	-do-

(j) Phone number: 6909729588

6. Details of known / suspected / unknown accused with full particulars:



H.F - 1

Sl. No.	Name	Alias	Relative's name	Present address
1	Shri Pechimayum Devigoura Singh aged @ 34 yrs. S/o P. Kunjahari Singh (Secretary, Pechi Youth Development Club(PYDC),	N/A	N/A	Pechi Makha Leikai
2	Shri Longjam Boje Singh, aged @ 50 yrs. S/o late L. Chandro Singh, (Member of the Organising Committee of Pechi Village)	N/A	N/A	Pechi Makha Leikai
3	Shri Wangkheimayum Ibomcha Singh aged @ 52 yrs. S/o late W. Churamani Singh, (President PYDC)	N/A	N/A	Pechi Makha Leikai
4.	Shri Oinam Naba Singh aged @ 56 yrs. S/o O. Ibohal Singh (Secretary Pechi Village)	N/A	N/A	Pechi Awang Leikai

7. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / informant:

8. Particulars of properties of interest:

Sl. No.	Property Category	Property Type	Description	Value (in Rs.)

9. Total value of property (In Rs./- N/A)

10. Inquest Report / U.D. case No., if any: .

Sl. No.	UIDB No.

11. First Information contents: O.E is attached.

12. Action taken: Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2.

(1) Registered the case and took up the investigation/or

(2) Directed (Name of I.O.): SI S. Maneshwor Singh, YPK-PS to take up the Investigation.

Rank: Sub-Inspector

(3) Refused investigation due to:

(4) Transferred to P.S:
on point of jurisdiction

District:

F.I.R. read over to the complainant / informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant /informant, free of cost.



Manipur Police

I.I.F - 1

R.O.A.C.

[Signature]
01.07.2021

- 1) X. Brojendra Singh
- 2) S. Mahesh Singh

Signature of Officer in charge, Police Station

Name: N. Suresh Singh

Rank: Inspector

No: **Officer in-charge**
Yairipok Police Station
Thoubal Dist. Manipur

13. Signature / Thumb impression
of the complainant / informant:

14. Date and time of dispatch to the court:



IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST
CLASS, THOUBAL, MANIPUR

Cril. Complaint Case No. 7 of 2025

Ref: Cril. Misc. Case No. 53 of 2025

1. **Shri Keisham Brojendro Singh**, aged about 40 years, S/o (Late) K. Chaoba Singh, Resident of Pechi Awang Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Yairipok, District Thoubal, Manipur.

2. **Shri Yumnam Mukta Singh**, aged about 38 years. S/o Y. Mangi Singh, Resident of Pechi Mayai Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Yairipok, District Thoubal, Manipur.

.....Complainants.

-Versus-

1. **Shri Pechimayum Devigoura Singh**, aged about 34 years, S/o P. Kunjahari Singh (Secretary, Pechi Youth Development Club), Resident of Pechi Makha Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Yairipok, District Thoubal, Manipur.

2. **Shri Longjam Boje Singh**, aged about 50 years, S/o (Late) L. Chandro Singh, Resident of Pechi Mayai Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Yairipok, District Thoubal, Manipur. (Member of the Organising Committee of Pechi Village).

3. **Shri Wangkheimayum Ibomcha Singh**, aged about 52 years, S/o (Late) W. Churamani Singh, (President, Pechi Youth Development Club), Resident of Pechi Makha Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Yairipok, District Thoubal, Manipur.




4. Shri Oinam Naba Singh, aged about 56 years, S/o O. Ibohal Singh, Resident of Pechi Awang Leikai, P.O. & P.S. Yairipok, District Thoubal, Manipur. (Secretary of Pechi Village).

.....Accused Persons.


ORDER (Extract)
30-06-2025

1. This is to consider whether to take cognizance of the offences stated in the complaint or not.
2. Brief facts of the case as per the complainants are that Complainant No. 1 (Keisham Brojendro Singh) is the owner in possession of an ingkhol land under old patta no. 24, new patta no. 347/394, covered by C.S. Dag no. 65, measuring an area of 0.65560 hectares, situated at Village no. 101-Pechi Tehsil Yairipok, Sub-Division and District Thoubal, Manipur, and Complainant No. 2 (Yumnam Mukta Singh) is also the owner of an ingkhol land under old patta no. 24, corresponding to new patta no. 395/486, covered by C.S. Dag no. 23/597, measuring an area of 0.16180 hectares, situated at Village no. 101-Pechi Tehsil Yairipok, Sub-division and District Thoubal, Manipur and the complainants and their forebears have cultivated and preserved various indigenous tree species and plants, including Uchan, Wang, Nashik, Teak, Ucham, Theibong, Yongchak, Tera, and Bamboo, over the past 50-55 years, without obstruction from any person or authority.
3. It is further submitted that on 27th November 2024 at around 7:15 am and 2nd December 2024, 7.05 am, the accused persons, without any legal right, unlawfully trespassed into the Complainants' land and began cutting and removing over 3,000 trees using cutting machines, without consent or lawful authority. The approximate value of the felled trees is estimated to




exceed Rs. 20,00,000/- (Rupees twenty Lakh only) and the accused persons also illegally excavated a large pool on the complainants' land, beyond any sanctioned plan or permission, removed and sold earth by the accused in connivance with certain officials of the Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), thereby deriving illegal monetary gains. The approximate value of the sold earth is estimated to exceed Rs. 15,00,000/- (Rupees fifteen Lakh only) and despite the complainants' protests, the accused continued their activities, threatening the complainants and abusing their social standing and positions in the said Club to silence opposition, with open support from PHED officials.

4. It is also submitted that complainants issued a legal notice demanding compensation of Rs. 15,00,000/- or more. No reply has been received from the accused and accused persons escalated their intimidation by attempting to forcibly seize the complainants' ancestral land ("Ingkhol"), threatening to expel them from their locality if they did not surrender the land to the Club/Pechi villagers and under duress, and with the involvement of an unlawful organisation, the complainants and their families were forced to sign a No Objection Certificate (NOC) and blank papers at gunpoint. They were subjected to threats and social ostracism, with even family members being threatened with weapons.
5. It is further submitted that the accused coerced the complainants to sign false consents on non-judicial stamp papers to fabricate legitimacy for illegal occupation and Complainant No. 2's residential structure was demolished by the accused, and the complainants were obstructed from recording evidence or communicating with relatives and arms and ammunition were allegedly stored near the residences of the complainant's relatives, creating an atmosphere of fear and constant intimidation.
6. It is also submitted that despite complaining to the Officer in Charge, Yairipok Police Station, the matter was wrongly treated as a civil dispute, and no FIR was registered and that the actions of the accused constitute grave cognizable offences and reflect criminal conspiracy, intimidation,



extortion, illegal dispossession, and destruction of ecological resources. The rights to life, property, and dignity of the complainants, as guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, stand grossly violated. The total amount of damage properties of the complainants is more than Rs. 35,00,000/- (Rupees thirty-five lakh).

7. It is also submitted that accused persons have committed offences under Sections 111, 303, 308, 322, 324, 329, 331, 351(2) & 351(3). 61(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023, and Section 15 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986. Hence the case.
8. Thereafter statements of the complainants were recorded and accused persons were summoned and opportunity of being heard was given to the accused persons.
9. Ld counsel for the accused person submitted that the depositions of the two complainants are false and manipulated and the lands claimed by the complainants to be theirs are state land and no dag chitha is produced to show possession and ownership is not shown. It is further submitted that mere recording of names in the zamabandi does not show ownership. It is also submitted that complainants are doing nothing but creating obstruction to the developmental works and relevant land records from the revenue departments would show that the complainants have no locus to file the present complaint.
10. Heard the ld counsel for the accused person. Also perused the statements of the two complainants which are in similar line with the complaint petition.
11. This court also takes note that accused persons have filed two misc. applications with multiple prayers including leave for filing additional documents and for dismissing the complaint case which are pending. It is pertinent to mention here that present stage of the case is that of taking cognizance. It is well settled that taking cognizance means taking judicial note of the case and for that, the court ought to see only whether prima facie case to proceed further is made out or not. At this stage looking into the evidence of the accused person is not necessary.



12. The offences are section 61(2), 111, 303, 308, 322, 324, 329, 331, 350(2) and 351(3) of BNS and section 15 of the Environment (protection) Act, 1986 and this court finds prima facie material in respect of sections 3(50), 61(2), 303, 324(5), 329(1) and 351(1) of BNS. As regards the remaining sections i.e. 111, 308, 322 and 331 of BNS and section 15 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, this court does not find slightest whisper of the ingredients of the offences and as such no cognizance will be taken for the said offences.
13. Accordingly, cognizance is taken for offences under section 61(1), 303(1), 324(1), 329(1), 351(1) of BNS.
14. Convert this Cril. Misc. case into Cril. Complaint case.
15. The case is hereby sent for investigation under section 225 of BNSS to OC, Yairipok P.S. OC Yairipok PS is to submit a report of investigation to this court within 3 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Report of investigation must include among other things, findings on whether there is commission of the said offences and also how the complainants came to be the owners of the alleged lands (through inheritance or allotment or transfer etc.).
16. Send a copy of this order to OC, Yairipok PS for information and compliance. Complainant is to take step.
17. Put up on 25-07-2025 for report.

Sd/-

(Kshetrimayum Maheta Devi)
i/c Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Thoubal



Memo No. JMFC/TBL/2025/ 342

Dated: 30.06.2025

Copy to:

- ✓ 1. The OC, Yairipok PS for information and compliance.

*This report is treated as an
DE of Case FIR No. 27(7) 2025
YPR-PS u/s 61(1)/303(1)/324(1)/329(1)/
351(1) BNS, 2023*

[Signature]
01.07.2025

Officer in Charge
Yairipok Police Station
Thoubal Dist. Manipur

[Signature]
20.06.25
Bench Reader to JMFC, Thoubal
Bench Reader
Judicial Magistrate (First Class)
Thoubal