

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 173 B.N.S.S.)

 DISTRICT: Churachandpur FIR No. 228(09)2024 CCP-PS P.S. Churachandpur

YEAR: 2024

Date and time of FIR: 26/09/2024 at at 06:30 PM

2

| SL No. | Acts | Sections | Description of offence | |
|--------|------|----------|--|--|
| 01 | IPC | 417 | Punishment for cheating | |
| 02 | IPC | 465 | Punishment for forgery | |
| 03 | IPC | 468 | Forgery for the purpose of cheating | |
| 04 | IPC | 471 | Using as genuine a forged document which is known to be forged | |
| 05 | IPC | 34 | Common intention | |

3. (a) Occurrence of Offence:

Day:

Date from: 19/11/2011

Date to: 02/02/2019

Time Period:

Time from: Not mentioned

Time to: Not mentioned

(b). Information received at PS:

Date: 26/09/2024

Time: 26/09/2024 at 06:30 PM

(c). General Diary Reference:

Entry No.30

Date & Time: 26/09/2024 at 06:30 PM

- 4. Type of information: Written
- 5. Place of occurrence:

(a) Direction and distance from PS: About 1.5 Kms South West

Beat No.

- (b) Address: Office of the SDO/SDC, Churachandpur located at Headquarter Veng
- (c) In case outside the limit of this Police Station, then Name of P.S:
- 6. Complainant/Informanty
- (a) Name: SI Letminlal Suantak
- (b) Father's Name:
- (c) Date/ Year of Birth:

(d) Nationality: Indian

(e) UID No.

Passport No. NIL

(f) Date of issue:

Place of issue:

(g) ID Details (Ration Card, Voter ID Card, Passport, UID No., Driving License, PAN)

| Sl. No. | ID Type | ID No. | |
|---------|---------|--------|--|
| 01 | NIL | NIL / | |

(h) Occupation:

(i) Address:

| Sl. No. | Address Type | Address |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | Present address | Compound Veng, Churachandpur |
| 2 | Permanent address | Compound Veng, Churachandpur |

(j) Phone number: 7005136533

7. Details of known/ suspected/ unknown accused with full particulars:

| Sl. No. | Name | Alias | Relative's name | Present address | |
|------------|--|-------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 01 | Smt. Khumi Guite 70 yrs | | d/o (T) Tumala | Jamsuan Road, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur | |
| 02 | Shri B. Dongzalian, 57 yrs | | s/o Vungzagin | Bible Hill, Rengkai, Churachandpur, Manipur | |
| 03 | Shri. Khaimuanthang 55 yrs | | s/o Ginzathang | Immanuel Khopi Churachandpur, Manipur | |
| 04 | Smt. Nguri 67 yrs | | w/o Thanga Tonsing | Vengnuam, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur | |
| 05 | Shri Thanga Tonsing 70 yrs | | h∕o Smt. Nguri | Venguam, New Lamka, Churachandpu Manipur. | |
| 06 | Mr.Gojendro, the then Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office | | | | |
| 07 | Paolenmang Kipgen, Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office | 09 | | | |
| 08 | Mr. Lalsuom Gangte, the then SDC/CCP who is now posted to the SDO/SDC Pherzawl | | * Church | DIANOPUR POLICE STRATON | |
| 09 | Mr.Jangminlen Lupho, the then SDC/Chrachandpur | | Man Man | CHANDPUR COST | |

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant:

9. Particulars of properties of interest:

| Sl. No. | Sl. No. Property Category Property Type | | Description | Value (in Rs.) |
|---------|---|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| 01 | | | | |
| | alue of property: Report/ U.D. Case No., | if any: | 0 | 185 |
| SL No. | | UIDB No. | | |
| 01 | | NIL 5 | | |

12. First Information contents: SI Letminlal Suantak investigating officer of Churachandpur police station, Churachandpur, Manipur have submitted an enquiry report on GD Entry No:17/CCP-PS/2024 Dated:28/08/2024(Cril Misc Case No.36 of 2024) to the Officer-in-charge, Churachandpur Police Station, Churachandpur Manipur which was later submitted to the court of Hon'ble CJM/CCP as per directed by the court of the Hon'ble CJM/CCP Vide Memo No.CJM/CCP/2024/108 Dated: 26/09/2024 upon thorough enquiry into the complainant Shri. Mangbeldam Suantak @ M Dama 50 yrs. s/o S. Khupkholam of Lingsiphai village, Churachandpur to the Court of Hon'ble CJM, Churachandpur, Manipur against the following accused:

- Smt. Khumi Guite 70 yrs. d/o (T) Tumala of Jamsuan Road, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur
- Shri B. Dongzalian, 57 yrs. s/o Vungzagin of Bible Hill, Rengkai, Churachandpur, Manipur
- Shri B. Dongzalian, 57 yrs. s/o Vungzagin of Bible Hill, Rengkai, Churachandpur, Manipur
 Shri. Khainuanthang 55 yrs. s/o Ginzathang of Immanuel Khopi Churachandpur, Manipur

- 4. Smt. Nguri 67 yrs w/o Thanga Tonsing of Vengnuam, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur
- 5. Shri Thanga Tonsing 70 yrs. h/o Smt. Nguri of Venguam, New Lamka, Churachandpur Manipur.

During the enquiry accused No. 1, Smt. Khumi Guite admitted that she changed/mutated the complainant paddy field of 1.35 acres, covered under Dag no. 3282 in 2011 to herself at the Office of the SDO/SDC Churachandpur vide Order Sheet, Mut Case No. 383 of 2011 dated 19/11/11, without the consent of the land owner i.e. the complainant as he didn't pay back the borrowed amount i.e. 40,00,000/(forty lakhs) and the interest. She further stated that she went alone to the office of the SDC/Churachandpur for the mutation and the witnesses i.e. Mrs. Nguri (her sister) and Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing (brother-in-law) and the complainant i.e. Mr. Mangbeldam were not present at the SDO/SDC-CCP office at the time of execution of mutation of the said land. Later, she mutated the said land Vide Order Sheet, Mut case No. 22 of 2019 dated 2/2/19 to Mr. Khaimuanthang s/o Ginzathang of Immanuel Khopi, CCpur i.e. Accused no.3 who expired a few years back. However, she couldn't remember or refuse to disclose the person who signed or forged the signature of the land owner i.e the complainant on the mutation Order Sheet.

On examination of accused No. 4 and 5, Mrs. Nguri (younger sister of Ms. Khumi) w/o T. Thanga Tonsing of Vengnuam South, New Lamka accepted that she signed as a witness on the agreement paper dated 15/07/2011 when Mr. Mangbeldam borrows money from my sister Khumi Guite however, she refused or couldn't remember the instances where she signed on any mutation order as a witness. On the contrary, her husband Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing accepted that he signed the mutation order dated 19/11/11 as a witness. He

Further, he visited the SDO/SDC Churachandpur office, and learned from the office staff that the mutation case no. 383 of 2011 dated 19/11/11 was done by Mr. Gojendro, the then Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office who retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation. The mutation was done during period of the SDC Mr. Lalsuom Gangte who is now posted to the SDO/SDC Pherzawl. Whereas, Mut case No. 22 of 2019 dated 2/2/19 was done by Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen, Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office and during the period of Mr. Jangminlen Lupho as the SDC/Chrachandpur. The above two mutation orders is now untraceable at the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office, maintained by the Mandol i.e. Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen.

On contacting the then mandol Mr. Gogendro on the phone, he couldn't remember/recollect the circumstances leading to the mutation of the said land and it is not possible to examine him due to the prevailing situation at the moment.

On examination of Mandol, Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen who did the 2nd mutation of the paddy field from Ms Khumi Guite to Mr Khaimuanthang stated that Mr. Khaimuanthang alone came to the SDO/SDC Churachrachandpur Office for mutation of the paddy field to himself bringing with him dully signed mutation order and Jamabandi. He checked/compared the documents with the land record maintained in the SDO/SDC Churachandpur office and found the same to be true. So he mutated the paddy field from Ms Khumi to Khaiminthang. He went on to state that the presence of the landowner and the witnesses was not made necessary in good faith, back in those days. Mr. Paolenmang further stated that the 1st mutation was done by the then Mandol, Mr. Gogendro but he didn't enter in the land record at the time of mutation. As such Mandol, Mr. B. Dongzalian later entered in the land record.

Mr. Dongzalian Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur was communicated through telephone, as he was out of station during the enquiry. He stated that he had no other involvement in c/w the mutation of Mr. Mangbeldam's paddy field to Ms. Khumi Guite except making an entry of the mutation in the land record maintained by the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office, in the capacity of being the Supervisor.

Specimen signatures of Mr. Mangbeldam, Ms. Khumi Guite, Mrs. Nguri, and T. Thanga Tonsing collected during the enquiry were compared with the signatures on the Order Sheet, Mut Case No. 383 of 2011 dated 19/11/11 and non of the signatures seem to match which may be later sent to the forensic expert for authentication if required. The



- spicel cory

signature of Ms. Khumi Guite on the 1st Order sheet, Mut case no. 383 of 2011, and 2nd Order Sheet, Mut no. 22 of 2019 *dated 2/2/19 seems non-identical.

Given the above, it is highly possible that the accused no. 1, Ms Khumi Guite in collusion with Mr. Gogendro, the then Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur, mutated the paddy field of Mr. Mangbeldam to Khumi Guite on 19/11/11 by forging signature of the land owner i.e the complainant (Mr. Mangbeldam) and witnesses Signature (i.e Mrs Nguri and Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing) and later mutated the same paddy field to Mr. Khaiminthang s/o Ginzathang of Emanuel Khopi in 19/11/11 in collution with Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen, Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur. Both the mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur i.e. Gogendro and Paolenmang Kipgen were highly responsible for not following due process of mutation which led to the present situation and negligence for misplacing the mutation Order Sheets. Further, involvement of staff/Officer of the SDO/SDC Churachandpur in c/w the crime cannot be rule out at the moment.

- 13. Action taken: Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at item No.
- (1) Registered the case and took up the investigation/ or

(2) Directed (Name of I.O.): S.Lialian

No.

Rank: Sub-Inspector (.S.I.) to take up the Investigation:

(3) Refused investigation due to:

(4) Transferred to PS:

on point of jurisdiction

FI.R. Read over to the complainant/ informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/

informant, free of cost.

R.O.A.C C - World

14. Signature/ Thumb impression of the complainant/ informant

Signature of Officer in charge, Police Station

26/9/2024

Name: Thangpu Tonsing

Rank: Inspector Officer- In- Inchaige

District:

Manipur /

15. Date and time of dispatch to the court: 27/09/2024

-sp/cco copy-

海外自己以及中国

The Officer-in-charge,

Chruachandpur Police Station.



Subject: Report

Ref. GD No. 17/CCP-PS/2024 dated 28/8/2024(Cril Misc. Case No. 36 of 2024)

Sir,

To,

As endorsed, I have conducted an enquiry in c/w the complaint of Shri Mangbeldam Suantak @ M Dama 50 yrs. s/o S. Khupkholam of Lingsiphai village, Churachandpur to the Court of Hon'ble CJM, Churachandpur, Manipur against the following accused:

 Smt. Khumi Guite 70 yrs. d/o (T) Tumala of Jamsuan Road, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur

 Shri B. Dongzalian, 57 yrs. s/o Vungzagin of Bible Hill, Rengkal, Churachandpur, Manipur

 Shri, Khaimuanthang 55 yrs. s/o Ginzathang of Immanuel Khopi Churachandpur, Manipur

 Smt. Nguri 67 yrs w/o Thanga Tonsing of Vengnuam, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur

9. Shri Thanga Tonsing 70 yrs. h/o Smt. Nguri of Venguam, New Lamka, Churachandpur Manipur.

During the enquiry accused No. 1, Smt. Khumi Guite admitted that she changed/mutated the complainant paddy field of 1.35 acres, covered under Dag no. 3282 in 2011 to herself at the Office of the SDO/SDC Churachandpur vide Order Sheet, Mut Case No. 383 of 2011 dated 19/11/11, without the consent of the land owner i.e. the complainant as he didn't pay back the borrowed amount i.e. 40,00,000/(forty lakhs) and the interest. She further stated that she went alone to the office of the SDC/Churachandpur for the mutation and the witnesses i.e. Mrs. Nguri (her sister) and Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing (brother-in-law) and the complainant i.e. Mr. Mangbeldam were not present at the SDO/SDC-CCP office at the time of execution of mutation of the said land. Later, she mutated the said land Vide Order Sheet, Mut case No. 22 of 2019 dated 2/2/19 to Mr. Khaimuanthang s/o Ginzathang of Immanuel Khopi, CCpur i.e. Accused no.3 who expired a few years back. However, she couldn't remember or refuse to disclose the person who signed or forged the signature of the land owner i.e the complainant on the mutation Order Sheet.

On examination of accused No. 4 and 5, Mrs. Nguri (younger sister of Ms. Khumi) w/o
T. Thanga Tonsing of Vengnuam South, New Lamka accepted that she signed as a witness on
the agreement paper dated 15/07/2011 when Mr. Mangbeldam borrows money from my

Treated as DE of FIR No. 228 (09)2024 CCP-PS uls 417/465/468/471/34 IPC.

> Officer-In-Inchargo Churachamipur Pelice Statio

ocher-B

mf 26/9/2024

sister Khumi Guite however, she refused or couldn't remember the instances where she signed on any mutation order as a witness. On the contrary, her husband Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing accepted that he signed the mutation order dated 19/11/11 as a witness. He

Further, I visited the SDO/SDC Churachandpur office, and learned from the office staff that the mutation case no. 383 of 2011 dated 19/11/11 was done by Mr. Gojendro, the then Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office who retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation. The mutation was done during period of the SDC Mr. Lalsuom Gangte who is now posted to the SDO/SDC Pherzawi. Whereas, Mut case No. 22 of 2019 dated 2/2/19 was done by Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen, Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office and during the period of Mr. Jangminlen Lupho as the SDC/Chrachandpur. The above two mutation orders is now untraceable at the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office, maintained by the Mandol i.e. Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen.

On contacting the then mandol Mr. Gogendro on the phone, he couldn't remember/recollect the circumstances leading to the mutation of the said land and it is not possible to examine him due to the prevailing situation at the moment.

On examination of Mandol, Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen who did the 2nd mutation of the paddy field from Ms Khumi Gulte to Mr Khaimuanthang stated that Mr. Khaimuanthang alone came to the SDO/SDC Churachrachandpur Office for mutation of the paddy field to himself bringing with him dully signed mutation order and Jamabandi. He checked/compared the documents with the land record maintained in the SDO/SDC Churachandpur office and found the same to be true. So he mutated the paddy field from Ms Khumi to Khaiminthang. He went on to state that the presence of the landowner and the witnesses was not made necessary in good faith, back in those days. Mr. Paolenmang further stated that the 1° mutation was done by the then Mandol, Mr. Gogendro but he didn't enter in the land record at the time of mutation. As such Mandol, Mr. B. Dongzalian later entered in the land record.

Mr. Dongzalian Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur was communicated through telephone, as he was out of station during the enquiry. He stated that he had no other involvement in c/w the mutation of Mr. Mangbeldam's paddy field to Ms. Khumi Guite except making an entry of the mutation in the land record maintained by the SDO/SDC Churachandpur Office, in the capacity of being the Supervisor.

Specimen signatures of Mr. Mangbeldam, Ms. Khumi Guite, Mrs. Nguri, and T. Thanga Tonsing collected during the enquiry were compared with the signatures on the Order Sheet, Mut Case No. 383 of 2011 dated 19/11/11 and non of the signatures seem to match which



may be later sent to the forensic expert for authentication if required. The signature of Ms. Khumi Guite on the 1st Order sheet, Mut case no. 383 of 2011, and 2nd Order Sheet, Mut no. 22 of 2019 dated 2/2/19 seems non-identical.

Given the above, it is highly possible that the accused no. 1, Ms Khumi Guite in collusion with Mr. Gogendro, the then Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur, mutated the paddy field of Mr. Mangbeldam to Khumi Guite on 19/11/11 by forging signature of the land owner i.e the complainant (Mr. Mangbeldam) and witnesses Signature(i.e Mrs Nguri and Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing) and later mutated the same paddy field to Mr. Khaiminthang s/o Ginzathang of Emanuel Khopi in 19/11/11 in collution with Mr. Paolenmang Kipgen, Mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur. Both the mandol to the SDO/SDC Churachandpur i.e. Gogendro and Paolenmang Kipgen were highly responsible for not following due process of mutation which led to the present situation and negligence for misplacing the mutation Order Sheets. Further, involvement of staff/Officer of the SDO/SDC Churachnadpur in c/w the crinte cannot be rule out at the moment.

The enquiry report along with the following enclosure is submitted for favour of kind perusal and further n/a please.

Enclosed:

1) Statement and Speciment signature of Ms Khumi Guite 70 yrs. d/o (T) Turnala of Jamsuan Road, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur

 Speciment signature of Mrs. Nguri 67 yrs w/o Thanga Tonsing of Vengnuam, New Lamka, Churachandpur, Manipur

 Speciment signature of Mr. T. Thanga Tonsing 70 yrs. h/o Smt. Nguri of Venguam, and s/o (L) T. Ngulkhothong Tonsing of New Lamka, Churachandpur Manipur.

4) Speciment signature of the complainant Mr. Mangbeldam Suantak @ Dama, 58 yrs s/o S. Khupkholam of Lingsiphai Village

Dated:26/09/2024

Meno no. 2183/ccp-ps/rom 26/9/2024

Submitted to the court of the Horible complete the court of the Lat App to the court of the Horible complete for forom of the Horible complete for forom of the kind perusal and not per =

Churachandpur Police Statio

Yours faithfully,

(Letminlal Suantak)

SI, CCP-PS

Investigating Officer Churachandour Police Station

IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE: CHURACHANDPUR, MANIPUR

Cril. Misc. Case No. 36 of 2024

Shri Mangbeldam Suantak @ M Dama

RRNO. 2726/cep-ps/rory 26/9/nony C/O SI Kefmintal Sucarrane

...... Complainant

-versus-

Smt. Khumi Guite & 4 others

...... Accused persons

EXTRACT COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 26-09-2024

Ld. APP along with LO. present

Ld. Counsel for the complainant present.

As per previous Order, enquiry was conducted by the concerned officer. Thereafter, report was submitted to this Court.

On perusal of the report and after hearing from the concern officer, it is submitted that there was a prima facie case of forgery and therefore, in the interest of justice, the concern officer may take legal action.

Matter is disposed of

Announced in open court.

Sd/-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Churachandpur

Memo No. CJM/CCP/2024/108

CCP, the 26th September 2024

Copy to:

The Officer-in-charge of the case

2. The concerned I.O.,

.... for information & compliance.

(Berich Clerk)